

## Role of Occlusion in Temporomandibular disorders; a literature review

Dr.Jittin James<sup>1</sup>, Dr.Joby Paulose<sup>2</sup>, Dr.Resni Poulouse<sup>3</sup>, Dr.Nikhil Daniel<sup>4</sup>

1,Senior Lecturer,Department of Prosthodontics, Mar Baselios Dental College, Kothamangalam

2, Professor, Department of Orthodontics, Mar Baselios Dental College, Kothamangalam

3,Dental Surgeon, Mar Baselios Dental College, Kothamangalam

4, Dental Surgeon, Indira Gandhi Institute of Dental Sciences

Address :DrJittin James, Edappattu Dental Clinic, Vazhakulam P.O, Muvattupuzha,  
Ernakulam (Dist)- 686670, Email id :- drjittinames@gmail.com, Phone No :- 09447984498

---

**Abstract** :Temporomandibular disorder (TMD) is the main cause of pain of non-dental origin in the orofacial region. Aetiology is multi factorial. Occlusion is cited as the major etiological factor causing Temporomandibular joint pain. TMD is frequently found in children and adolescents, and show increased prevalence in subjects between 15 and 45 years.

Aesthetic awareness, the development of new aesthetic orthodontic techniques and the possibility of improving prosthetic rehabilitation has increased the number of adults seeking orthodontic treatment. The interest in the relationship between occlusal factors, orthodontic treatment and TMD has grown and many studies have been conducted. Indeed, claims that orthodontic treatment may cause or cure TMD should be supported by good evidence. Hence, the aim of this article is to critically review evidence for a possible association between malocclusion, orthodontic treatment and TMD.

**Key words**:-Temporomandibular joint, Temporomandibular disorders, Acupuncture, Splint therapy

### INTRODUCTION

Temporomandibular disorder (TMD) is the main cause of pain of non-dental origin in the orofacial region<sup>1</sup>. Aetiology is multi factorial. At present, the role of occlusion in relation to the aetiology of TMD is widely considered as contributory by initiating, perpetuating or predisposing to the disorders. It is estimated that occlusal factors contribute about 10 to 20 percent to the total spectrum of multifactorial factors, which differentiates between healthy individuals and patients with TMDs.<sup>2-3</sup>

Signs of dysfunction may be the result of how the individual uses the occlusion and not a result of its structural features. Thus the term nonphysiologic occlusion does not imply a cause and effect relationship<sup>4</sup>.

### DISCUSSION

The temporomandibular joint (TMJ) is the joint between the lower jaw and the base of the skull. TMJ disorders (TMD) refer to a group of disorders with symptoms that include pain, clicking in the jaw joint and/or problems with chewing or opening the jaw. This condition can be known by a variety of conditions including craniomandibular disorders (CMD) and is a frequent cause of facial pain problems.<sup>5-6</sup>

A positive relationship between occlusal factors and TMD has been suggested<sup>7</sup>. Prevalence studies have reported approximately 75% of the population having at least one sign of joint dysfunction (abnormal jaw movement, joint noises, tenderness on palpation, etc) and



approximately 33% having at least one symptom (facial pain, joint pain, etc).<sup>8,9</sup> It is a significant finding that in all studies except one<sup>10</sup>, females are affected more than males. The common signs and symptoms of TMD include pain, joint sounds (clicking, grating), and limited or asymmetrical jaw movement.

Treatment options for TMD include reassurance (patient education, self care and behaviour therapy), physiotherapy (such as ultrasound, acupuncture, short wave diathermy laser, heat exercises, and biofeedback), splint therapy, drug therapy, occlusal adjustment, surgical intervention and combined treatment.

Acupuncture has been a particular treatment modality favoured by List<sup>11</sup>. Furthermore, some authors actually debate the need for treatment: LeResche<sup>12</sup> suggested only 10% of the population aged over 18 are likely to have symptoms that require treatment while others McNeill<sup>13</sup> and Okeson<sup>14</sup> estimated that 3.6% to 7% of the population are actually needing treatment.

There is a significant degree of controversy regarding the relationship of TMD and orthodontic treatment<sup>15,16</sup>. The use of orthodontic appliances to correct the alignment and vertical relationships of teeth has small yet significant risks: an increase in plaque build up, leading to an increase in oral and dental disease, and a reduction of bone support to the teeth and possible root resorption<sup>17</sup>.

The working hypothesis appears to be that if the teeth bite incorrectly in the form of a malocclusion, this can then apply a restriction to

the function of the TMJ or worse still, predispose it to future pathological deterioration. By correcting the alignment and arrangement of the teeth the TMJ will remodel to the overriding new functional needs thus treating any disease processes/malfunction of joint integrity and allowing normal function to continue unabated for the life of the patient.<sup>18</sup>

In an epidemiological study, a low incidence of certain variables of malocclusion was found (unilateral open bite, negative overjet and unilateral cross-bite in men, and edge-to-edge bite in women) with signs or symptoms of TMD<sup>19</sup>. By including static and dynamic factors of occlusion, a significant correlation with TMD incidence was statistically determined but with a low correlation coefficient<sup>20</sup>.

Anterior open bite, overjet of 6 mm or more, unilateral cross-bite and difference between centric relation and maximal intercuspation amounting to more than 2 mm with more than six posterior teeth to be replaced can be considered increased risk factors for TMD<sup>21</sup>. Conversely, Rammelsberg<sup>22</sup> claimed that high abrasion and insufficient restorative procedure on posterior teeth are risk factors causing occlusal instability.

In a population of children, Pereira et al.<sup>23</sup> did not find any correlation between malocclusion and TMD but they identified bruxism and posterior cross bite as risk factors for TMD. Tecco et al.<sup>24</sup> and Tecco and Festa<sup>25</sup> found a correlation between TMD with painful symptoms in children (5-15 years of age) and unilateral cross bite, but not with TMJ sounds. Badelet al.<sup>26</sup>



found a significantly higher prevalence of hyperbalance and interference contacts in asymptomatic patients compared to TMD patients.

Le Bell et al.<sup>27</sup> found that artificial interferences did not stimulate development of dysfunctional symptoms in healthy subjects, instead they adapted successfully to them.

### **CONCLUSION**

Taking into account the great number of static and dynamic occlusal variables, it is difficult to comprehend the overall correlation with the development of TMDs due to the often non-standardized studies based on occlusal analysis. Occlusion ensures orthopedic stability

of TMJ, whereas occlusal stability is ensured by mutually antagonistic contacts in the position of maximal intercuspation. When the relationship between the two factors is compromised, it could lead to an overload of articular structures and consequently pose a risk of TMD development. Hence, the aim of this article is to critically review evidence for a possible association between malocclusion, orthodontic treatment and TMD.

**Key words:**-Temporomandibular joint, Temporomandibular disorders, Acupuncture, Splint therapy

### **REFERENCES**

1. OKESON J, Fundamentals of occlusion and temporomandibular disorders (CV Mosby Company, St. Louis, 1989).
2. OKESON J, Management of temporomandibular disorders and occlusion (CV Mosby Company, St. Louis, 1993).
3. GRABER TM, The clinical implications of the unique metabolic processes in the human temporomandibular joint
4. GRABER TM, ELIADES T, ATHANASIOU AE (Eds) Risk management in orthodontics: experts' guide to malpractice (Quintessence Publishing Co, Chicago, 2004)
5. Dworkin SF, LeResche L, DeRouen T, Von Korff M. Assessing clinical signs of temporomandibular disorders: reliability of clinical examiners. *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry* 1990;63(5):574-9.
6. Dworkin SF, LeResche L. Research diagnostic criteria for temporomandibular disorders: review, criteria, examinations and specifications, critique. *Journal of Craniomandibular Disorders* 1992;6(4):301-55.
7. Ramfjord SP. Dysfunctional temporomandibular joint and muscle pain. *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry* 1961;11(2): 353-74.
8. Rugh JD, Solberg WK. Oral health status in the United States: temporomandibular disorders. *Journal of Dental Education* 1985;49(6):398-406.
9. Schiffman E, Friction JR. Epidemiology of TMJ and craniofacial pain. In: Friction JR, Kroening RJ, Hathaway KM editor(s). *TMJ and Craniofacial Pain: Diagnosis and Management*. St Louis: IEA Publications, 1988:1-10. 10. Talaat



6. Dworkin SF, LeResche L. Research diagnostic criteria for temporomandibular disorders: review, criteria, examinations and specifications, critique. *Journal of Craniomandibular Disorders* 1992;6(4):301–55.
7. Ramfjord SP. Dysfunctional temporomandibular joint and muscle pain. *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry* 1961;11(2): 353–74.
8. Rugh JD, Solberg WK. Oral health status in the United States: temporomandibular disorders. *Journal of Dental Education* 1985;49(6):398–406.
9. Schiffman E, Friction JR. Epidemiology of TMJ and craniofacial pain. In: Friction JR, Kroening RJ, Hathaway KM editor(s). *TMJ and Craniofacial Pain: Diagnosis and Management*. St Louis: IEA Publications, 1988:1–10.10. Talaat AM, el-Dibany MM, el-Garf A. Physical therapy in the management of myofascial pain dysfunction syndrome. *The Annals of Otolaryngology, Rhinology, and Laryngology* 1986;95 (3 Pt 1):225–8.
11. List T, Helkimo M, Karlsson R. Pressure pain thresholds in patients with craniomandibular disorders before and after treatment with acupuncture and occlusal splint therapy: a controlled clinical study. *Journal of Orofacial Pain* 1993;7(3):275–82.
12. LeResche L. Epidemiology of orofacial pain, Section I. The clinical problem and epidemiology. In: Lund JP, Lavigne GJ, Dubner R, Sessle E editor(s). *Orofacial Pain: From Basic Science to Clinical Management. The Transfer of Knowledge in Pain Research to Education*. Chicago: Quintessence Publishing Co Inc, 2001:15–25.
13. McNeill C. History and evolution of TMD concepts. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, and Endodontics* 1997;83(1):51–60.
14. Okeson JP. Differential diagnosis and management considerations of temporomandibular disorders. In: Okeson JP editor(s). *Orofacial Pain: Guidelines for Assessment, Diagnosis and Management*. Chicago: Quintessence Publishing Co Inc, 1996:113–84.
15. Luther F. Orthodontics and the temporomandibular joint: where are we now? Part 1. Orthodontic treatment and temporomandibular disorders. *The Angle Orthodontist* 1998;68(4):295–304.
16. Luther F. Orthodontics and the temporomandibular joint: where are we now? Part 2. Functional occlusion, malocclusion, and TMD. *The Angle Orthodontist* 1998;68(4):305–18.
17. Ireland AJ, McDonald F. *The Orthodontic Patient: Treatment and Biomechanics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2003.
18. Moss ML, Salentijn L. The primary role of functional matrices in facial growth. *American Journal of Orthodontics* 1969;55(6):566–77.
19. Carlsson GE. Some dogmas related to prosthodontics, temporomandibular disorders and occlusion. *Acta Odontol Scand* 2010;68:313–22.

20. Gesch D, Bernhardt O, Alte D, Kocher T, John U, Hensel E. Malocclusions and clinical signs or subjective symptoms of temporomandibular disorders (TMD) in adults. Results of the population-based Study of Health in Pomerania (SHIP). *J OrofacOrthop* 2004;65:88-103.
21. Pullinger AG, Seligman DA, Gornbein JA. A multiple logistic regression analysis of the risk and relative odds of temporomandibular disorders as a function of common occlusal features. *J Dent Res* 1993;72:968-79.
22. Pereira LJ, Costa RC, França JP, Pereira SM, Castelo PM. Risk indicators for signs and symptoms of temporomandibular dysfunction in children. *J ClinPediatr Dent* 2009;34:81-6.
23. Tecco S, Crincoli V, Di Bisceglie B, Saccucci M, Macrí M, Polimeni A, et al. Signs and symptoms of temporomandibular joint disorders in Caucasian children and adolescents. *J CraniomandibPract* 2011;29:71-9.
24. Tecco S, Festa F. Prevalence of signs and symptoms of temporomandibular disorders in children and adolescents with and without crossbites. *World J Orthodont* 2010;11:37-42.
25. Badel T, Marotti M, Krolo I, Kern J, Keros J. Occlusion in patients with temporomandibular joint anterior disk displacement. *ActaClin Croat* 2008;47:129-36.
26. Le Bell Y, Jämsä T, Korri S, Niemi PM, Alanen P. Effect of artificial occlusal interferences depends on previous experience of temporomandibular disorders. *Acta Odontol Scand* 2002;60:219-22.